1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 9 LEONARD FLORES, Case No. 2:16-cv-01676-RFB-NJK 10 Plaintiff(s), **ORDER** 11 VS. 12 (Docket No. 46) WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al., 13 Defendant(s). 14 Pending before the Court is Defendant Ten-X's motion to strike, filed on January 12, 2017. 15 Docket No. 46. To date, no response has been filed. Although not entirely clear, it appears Plaintiff 16 17 (who is appearing pro se) re-served his complaint with some additional handwritten notes. See Docket No. 46 at 3 & n.1; see also id. at 7-12. Defendant moves for an order striking the summons returned 18 19 executed as to the service of that complaint, as well as an order clarifying that a responsive pleading is not required at this time. See id. at 3. In light of the lack of a response in opposition, the motion is 20 21 hereby **GRANTED** as unopposed. See Local Rule 7-2(d).¹ IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 DATED: February 6, 2017 24 NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge 25 26

27

28

¹ To the extent Plaintiff wishes to amend his complaint, he must file a proper motion seeking leave from the Court to do so. *See, e.g.*, Fed. R. Civ. P. 15; Local Rule 15-1.